



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,824	11/20/2003	Martin E. Banton	D/A2454	8574
	7590 05/07/2007 CKERSON LLP		EXAMINER	
1777 PENFIELD ROAD			WOLDEMARIAM, AKILILU K	
PENFIELD, N	Y 14326		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2609	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/717,824	BANTON, MARTIN E.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Aklilu k. Woldemariam	2609			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M	<u>ay 2004</u> .	•			
,	, 				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers	•				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objed drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Solon is required if the drawing(s) is consistent \square	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in CPCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(c)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 19 May 2004	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/717,824 Page 2

Art Unit: 2609

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 20 November 2003 was filed after the mailing date of the same day on 20 November 2003. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner is considering the information disclosure statement.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either an asserted utility or a well-established utility. No Physical transformation is present to establish a practical application of the abstract idea. The result (designing two separable filters that approximates the circularly symmetric frequency response) is useful (establishes the specific, substantial, and credible utility designing filters) only if at least made available for use in the disclosed practical application, concrete it the designing two separable filters is based on objective criteria, and tangible if it is more than just a thought or computation within a digital processor, instead being a real world result. In this instance, claims 1-6 do not appear to produce a tangible result such that the usefulness of the designing two separable filters can be realized. It, therefore, appears to be non-statutory.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,824 Page 3

Art Unit: 2609

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 5. Claims 1-6 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either an asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. The phrase "for example" renders the claims 3, 4 are indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over James et al. (U.S. Patent number 6018596A) in view of Dawn et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5751862A)

Application/Control Number: 10/717,824

Art Unit: 2609

Page 4

- 10. **Regarding to claim 1**, James teaches that a method for designing filters that approximates the circularly symmetric frequency response achievable using a non-separable filter comprising:
- (a) selecting a cut-off frequency (see Fig. 7, column 4 line 41-45) and designing therefrom a 1-D low pass filter LP such that: LP = [X-n, X-(n-I), ... X0, ... Xn-1, Xn] (see Fig.2A, 2B);
- (b) obtaining a 2-D filter LPP (see Fig. 2) by performing the operation: LP* X LP wherein LP* is a column vector (see Fig.5) having the same entries as LP and LPP having dimensions given by: {2n+1, 2n+1} (see column 4 lines 6-13) and generating a 2-D countour plot therefor;
- (c) designing a 1-D high pass filter HP such that: HP = [Y-rn, Y-(m-l), •.. Y0, ... Ym-1, Ym] (see Fig.2C, 2D);
- (d) obtaining a 2-D filter HPP (Fig.2) by performing the operation: HP* X HP wherein HP* is a column vector (see Fig.5) having the same entries as HP and HPP having dimensions: {2m+1, 2m+1} (see column 4 lines 6-13) and obtaining a 2-D contour plot therefor:
- (e) repeating (c) through (d) until the 2-D contour plot of HPP overlaps the 2-D countour plot of LPP (see Fig. 13, column 6 lines 56-59);
- (f) generating a 2-D filter (Fig.2) ONE having the dimensions of that of HPP with the only non-zero entry of value 1 located at the center of ONE;

Application/Control Number: 10/717,824

Art Unit: 2609

(g) Convolving LPP with HPPinv to obtain DSCRN having dimensions: {2m+2n+1, 2m+2n+1} (see Fig.13, column 6 lines 45-59) and obtaining a 2-D contour plot therefor; and

Page 5

(i) repeating (a) through (h) until, by an examination of the 2-D contour plot of DSCRN, an approximation to a desired circular symmetry is achieved.

James does not explicitly teach (g) creating matrix HPPinv by subtracting HPP from ONE.

However, Dawn et al. teaches¹ (g) creating matrix HPPinv by subtracting HPP from ONE (column 22 lines 35-52).

James and Dawn are analogous art because they are from the field of endeavor of designing filters.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to someone of the ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to use Dawn's creating matrix HPPinv by subtracting HPP from ONE in James designing two separable filters. The motivation would have been [Dawn's] (column 22 lines 39-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine [James] with [Dawn] to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

11. **Regarding to claim 2,** James teaches that a method as in claim 1, wherein the dimensions of filters LPP & HPP are such that the processing by a target media processor, Very Long Instruction Word (VLIW) processor, or Digital Signal Processor (DSP) is optimized (see abstract or column1 lines 37-39).

Application/Control Number: 10/717,824

Art Unit: 2609

12. **Regarding to claim 3**,James teaches that a method as in claim 1, wherein one would descreen not by using the non-separable filter (Fig.3) DSCFtN but by first applying the separable filter LPP (see Fig.2) and saving that result as, for example, video_I.

Page 6

- 13. **Regarding to claim 4,** James teaches that a method as in claim 3, further comprising applying the HPP filter (Fig.3) to video_I and saving that output as, for example, video_2.
- 14. **Regarding to claim 5**, James teaches that a method as in claim 4, further comprising subtracting video_2 from video_I to yield descreened output (see column 3 lines 55-66).
- 15. **Regarding to claim 6**, James teaches that method as in claim 5, wherein DSCFN is applied to image data (see abstract) to determine whether the generated result accomplished an intended result.

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Merhav et el. (U.S. Patent Number 5, 832, 135) discloses filtering compressed images in the DCT Domain. Barbour et el. (U.S. Patent Number 7,099,519) discloses enhancing to a system of linear equations. Blinn et el. (U.S. Patent Number 6,816,622) discloses generating resized images using ripple free image. Lawton (U.S. Patent Number 6,771,836) discloses zero-crossing region filtering for processing.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,824 Page 7

Art Unit: 2609

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aklilu k. Woldemariam whose telephone number is 571-272-3247. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:300 a.m-5:00 p.m EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Eisen can be reached on 571-272-7687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A.W 4/18/2007

ALEXANDER EISEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600